1	Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)		
2	Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076) Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)		
3	HOWREY LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 3600		
4			
5	Facsimile: (415) 848-4999		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC. and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,		
7	AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC., AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX		
8			
9	CORP., and MATROX TECH, INC.		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12			
13			
14	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	Case No. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC)	
15	Plaintiff,	Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)	
16	vs.	DECLARATION OF DENISE M. DE MORY IN SUPPORT OF REPLY TO MOTION TO	
17	AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX	STAY	
	ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS INC., MATROX	Judge: Hon. Martin J. Jenkins	
10	INTERNATIONAL CORP., and MATROX	Juago. Hom Martin J. Johann	
10	TECH INC	Date: April 19, 2006	
19	TECH, INC.,	Date: April 19, 2006 Time: 9:00 a.m.	
20	TECH, INC., Defendants.		
20 21	Defendants.	Time: 9:00 a.m.	
20 21 22	I, Denise M. De Mory, declare as follows:	Time: 9:00 a.m. Ctrm: 11, 19 th Floor	
20212223	I, Denise M. De Mory, declare as follows: 1. I am an attorney at law licensed to proceed to procee	Time: 9:00 a.m. Ctrm: 11, 19 th Floor practice in the State of California and a Partner of the	
20 21 22 23 24	I, Denise M. De Mory, declare as follows: 1. I am an attorney at law licensed to play law firm of Howrey LLP, attorneys for plaintiff Sy	Time: 9:00 a.m. Ctrm: 11, 19 th Floor practice in the State of California and a Partner of the roopsys, and defendants Aeroflex Incorporated,	
20 21 22 23 24 25	I, Denise M. De Mory, declare as follows: 1. I am an attorney at law licensed to play law firm of Howrey LLP, attorneys for plaintiff Sy Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc., AMI Semiconduc	Time: 9:00 a.m. Ctrm: 11, 19 th Floor practice in the State of California and a Partner of the ropsys, and defendants Aeroflex Incorporated, etor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems Ltd., Matrox	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	I, Denise M. De Mory, declare as follows: 1. I am an attorney at law licensed to play law firm of Howrey LLP, attorneys for plaintiff Sy Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc., AMI Semiconduc Graphics Inc., Matrox International Corp., and Ma	Time: 9:00 a.m. Ctrm: 11, 19 th Floor practice in the State of California and a Partner of the mopsys, and defendants Aeroflex Incorporated, etor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems Ltd., Matrox etrox Tech, Inc. The matters set forth in this	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	I, Denise M. De Mory, declare as follows: 1. I am an attorney at law licensed to play law firm of Howrey LLP, attorneys for plaintiff Sy Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc., AMI Semiconduc Graphics Inc., Matrox International Corp., and Madeclaration are based upon my personal knowledge.	Time: 9:00 a.m. Ctrm: 11, 19 th Floor practice in the State of California and a Partner of the roopsys, and defendants Aeroflex Incorporated, etor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems Ltd., Matrox etrox Tech, Inc. The matters set forth in this e, except where otherwise indicated, and if called as	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	I, Denise M. De Mory, declare as follows: 1. I am an attorney at law licensed to play law firm of Howrey LLP, attorneys for plaintiff Sy Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc., AMI Semiconduc Graphics Inc., Matrox International Corp., and Ma	Time: 9:00 a.m. Ctrm: 11, 19 th Floor practice in the State of California and a Partner of the roopsys, and defendants Aeroflex Incorporated, etor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems Ltd., Matrox etrox Tech, Inc. The matters set forth in this e, except where otherwise indicated, and if called as	

1	2.	Approximately 180 hours of depositions have occurred in this case. Ricoh has taken	
2	approximately	150 hours of depositions. Synopsys and the Customer Defendants have taken	
3	approximately	30 hours of depositions.	
4	3.	Synopsys and the Customer Defendants have produced more than 8 million pages of	
5	documents in this case.		
6	4.	Synopsys and the Customer Defendants have substantively responded to all of Ricoh's	
7	discovery requ	ests – including over two hundred and fifty interrogatories. Ricoh has substantively	
8	responded to a	pproximately twenty interrogatories.	
9	5.	Synopsys and the Customer Defendants have substantively responded to more than one	
10	hundred reques	sts for admission. Ricoh has substantively responded to only five requests for	
11	admission, and	has refused to substantively respond to over five hundred requests for admission.	
12	6.	On March 20, 2006, Ricoh sent a meet and confer request acknowledging that it wanted	
13	to take the foll	owing depositions: (1) deposition of Matrox relating to sales; (2) deposition of Matrox	
14	related to mark	seting; (3) AMI deposition regarding financials; (4) AMI continued 30(b)(6) on	
15	products; (5) a	n Aeroflex deposition on four 30(b)(6) topics; (6) continued deposition of Coco of	
16	Aeroflex; (7) c	continued deposition of Milliken of Aeroflex; (8) Synopsys 30(b)(6) on four topics; (9)	
17	individual depo	osition of Robert Walker of Synopsys; (10) individual deposition of Bharat Kalyanpur of	
18	Synopsys; and	(11) additional continuations of the Synopsys 30(b)(6) technical depositions.	
19	7.	Synopsys and the Customer Defendants have requested 8 depositions, 5 of which Ricoh	
20	has refused to	provide. Synopsys and the Customer Defendants' served Ricoh their portions of Joint	
21	Letters to Judg	ge Chen on March 20, 2006 in an attempt to resolve these issues.	
22	8.	Synopsys and the Customer Defendants also will serve subpoenas on various third	
23	parties relating	to invalidity during the week of March 20, 2006.	
24	9.	In addition to the discovery described above, Synopsys and the Customer Defendants	

will take damages and expert depositions. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the

foregoing is true and correct. This declaration was executed in San Francisco, CA on March 21, 2006.

/s/Denise M. De Mory Denise M. De Mory

25

26

27